

How Pakistan's Relationship with China is Transforming Middle Eastern Political Patterns?

Shahid Hussain (Corresponding Author)

MS Scholar at the School of International and Public Affairs, Jilin University Changchun (China)

Email: sultanmir00@gmail.com

Humay Abdyrahmanova

MS Scholar at the School of International and Public Affairs, Jilin University Changchun (China)

Publication History:

Received: October 18, 2023

Revised: October 24, 2023

Accepted: October 30, 2023

Published Online: November 01, 2023

Keywords:

Korean Conflict,
Uyghur Muslims,
Latin America,
China-Pakistan Relationship,
Baloch Nationalism,
Persian Gulf,

Research related to Academic Areas:

Pakistan Studies, China Studies.
Middle Eastern Studies,

Acknowledgment:

Author 01 finalized this paper by getting assistance from **Author 02** in data collection.

Ethical Consideration:

This study has no aim to hurt any ideological or social segment but is purely based on academic purposes.

Abstract

The 72nd commemoration of Sino-Pakistani relations, celebrated in 2022, marks a significant historical milestone. Pakistan holds the distinction of being the first Islamic and the third non-socialist nation to formally recognize the People's Republic of China in 1950, and it was in May 1951 that Pakistan and China officially forged diplomatic ties. The enduring amity between these two nations is often characterized as unwavering, transcending geographical boundaries, and rising above the formidable Himalayan Mountains. This robust partnership between China and Pakistan serves as a noteworthy exemplar of amicable collaboration between neighboring developing states. The synergy extends across multiple dimensions, encompassing social, economic, and military domains. Both countries ardently strive together to foster peace, stability, and economic prosperity, with a particular emphasis on the Asian region.

This scholarly endeavor undertakes a precise exploration within this context, endeavoring to trace the historical trajectory that has led to the consistent strengthening of Sino-Pakistani relations. Furthermore, it seeks to discern whether this relationship holds the potential to catalyze alterations within the Middle East. The study also conducts an in-depth appraisal of the current state of affairs in the relationship between the two nations and the prospects it holds within the context of a dynamically evolving global landscape.

The central thesis of this paper asserts that the Sino-Pakistani relationship is a sustained fusion of defense and economic cooperation that has organically evolved from their shared historical ties.

Copyright © 2023 IPICS Journal as an academic research-oriented non-profit initiative of Rehmat and Maryam Researches (SMC-Pvt) Limited, publishing from Islamabad, Rawalpindi, and Lodhran under the registration from Security and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP). This is an open-access article. However, its distribution and/or reproduction in any medium is subject to the proper citation of the original work.

Introduction

The Islamic Republic of Pakistan was established on the August 14, 1947, and China became independence in 1947. Pakistan as a first Muslim country recognized China as a sovereign state in 1950. Pakistan was additionally among those nations that went against the Unified Countries goal perceiving China as an attacker in the Korean Conflict. The conciliatory relations between China and Pakistan were begun in May 1951. In 1961, When Pakistan upheld a goal requiring the rebuilding of China's lawful remaining in the UN; the ties turned out to be much more grounded (Kayani, 2013).

Even so, under Pakistan's foreign policy architecture, relations with China were strengthened, and this development was supported and maintained by the country's succeeding governments (Bhattacharjee, 2015).

During visiting Pakistan in 2015, Chinese president said that "the struggle had brought their hearts and minds together, both Pakistan and China are iron brothers". "The two nations are really iron brothers", according to Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif furthermore; Pakistan sees China's security as comparably significant and similar to possess (Kayani, 2013).

Regardless of the way that the two countries' words appear to be misrepresented and out of scale, they in any case reveal the direction of their cooperation. Their cordial and accommodating cooperation have authentic roots in Beijing's help for Islamabad on the political, military, financial, and atomic fronts, as well as its common antagonism toward India. The main part of their whole agreeable relationship has been their guard collaboration (Zaheer & Asim, 2021).

Pakistan, which purchases roughly 41% of all Chinese arms exports, is the country's largest arms consumer, according to the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute 2014. The offer of eight regular submarines for \$5 billion was finished in April 2015, making it Beijing's largest-ever overseas defense contract (Bhattacharjee, 2015).

China donated mostly machinery and various technologies between 1980 and 1990, and Pakistan also knew how to build nuclear weapons and ballistic missile projects. At that time, China also participated in six different nuclear power projects in Pakistan and was presumably going to sell more reactors to that nation. Chinese infrastructure investment in Pakistan has increased the level of economic cooperation between the two countries (Bhattacharjee, 2015).

Chinese investment in Pakistan's infrastructure growth marked multiple high points in the two countries' economic cooperation. From roughly US\$6 billion in 2006 to US\$16 billion in 2017, the trade volume rose. The big investment in Pakistan a large number of Chinese businesses that support various investment and development projects are active. Presently, 122 projects involving oil, gas, power production, engineering, and information technology are being worked on by 10,000 Chinese personnel and 60 major Chinese businesses (Zaheer & Asim, 2021).

Pakistan has been a significant player in China's international strategy for a long time, filling in as the groundwork of that country's technique in Focal and South Asia. As a functional method for containing Indian predominance in the district and redirecting New Delhi's tactical power and vital consideration away from China, China has, as of recently, looking for key collaboration with Pakistan. With the test given by its international adversaries, the United States and India, Pakistan has recently filled in its significance as a territorial partner. This was particularly obvious after the Obama association sought the decision to focus on East Asia, changing it into a crucial new front in the central contention among Washington and Beijing as well as China's key question with India and the need to address the frenzy-based control risk presented by Uyghur Muslims (Asim & Zaheer, 2022).

In particular, Pakistan's essential area permits Beijing admittance to elective courses for Center Eastern oil and gas sends out, especially to the Persian Bay locale's bountiful energy. In April 2015, China finally

wrecked the US as the greatest raw oil transporter on earth when its raw petrol imports showed up at a record high of around 7.4 million barrels each day (bpd) China is still generally likely to Center Eastern oil and gas, and this dependence has quite recently gotten more grounded of late, despite significant stretches of attempts to separate its energy supply from regions like Africa, Latin America, Central Asia¹, and Russia. Beijing purchased 6.1 million barrels of oil in 2014 (Asim & Zaheer, 2022) (Khozin, 2018).

A day, over 52% of which begins in the Persian Bay. Overall, this leaves China vulnerable to the effects of any disruptions in the Middle East's oil supply. To survey the China-Pakistan relationship, this study examines how ongoing bilateral events have bolstered Beijing's territorial financial and key power and may shift Beijing's impact in the Middle East from geo-economics to geostrategic. It centers on new opportunities for development that could grow Beijing's monetary and key impact in the Middle East as it analyzes current China-Pakistan relations. This was most recently displayed in three areas of participation, including the Gwadar Port (Zaheer & Asim, 2021).

The Gwadar Port Project

In a political dilemma involving China, Pakistan, and the Baloch people, the Gwadar Port, a crucial part of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), lies at the center. In Pakistan, there has long been a simmering racial animosity between the Balochis and the Punjabis. This has led to a lack of trust, which has now been worsened by beliefs that the Federal Government, which is dominated by the Punjabis, favors Chinese interests above those of the Balochis. This essay analyzes the Gwadar Port's dynamics, difficulties, and future potential in the context of Baloch nationalism in Pakistan's domestic politics and China's relations with Pakistan. It makes use of Baloch nationalism's past to provide relevant lessons for the current predicament. The main contention is that Pakistan and China should be mindful of the Balochis' interests to protect their interests (Saeed, Li, Zaheer, & Asim, 2021).

The future of China and Pakistan's well-established financial and vital associations is dependent on the Gwadar Port project, which is both the point of convergence of their vital organization and one of their most significant ongoing development drives. Gwadar is pivotal to deciding whether the CPEC undertaking can prevail since, without making the port useful, China would find it challenging to see the lengthy path as an energy and monetary corridor, which is one of the crucial targets of the CPEC. China-Pakistan relations are expected to reach new levels after the CPEC project is completed and the Gwadar Port is operational, guaranteeing their "all-weather" relationship (Saeed, Li, Zaheer, & Asim, 2021).

The remote ocean port at Gwadar, in Pakistan's Balochistan territory on the Bedouin Ocean, was fabricated and subsidized by China. Beijing contributed US\$198 million toward the primary stage's general expense of US\$1.16 billion, which included three multipurpose boat moors. At an expense of \$200 million, Beijing completely financed the development of the street interfacing the ports of Gwadar and Karachi on the Middle Eastern Ocean during the subsequent stage. China has consented to back nine boat moors, as well as stockpile terminals, and send 54 specialists to the undertaking to loan their specialized information. For more than 20 nations, the Gwadar Port Complex, which was opened in December 2008

¹ (EIU, 2017) (Kaura, 2022) (Massimov, 2015) (Matiullah, 2021) (Tashmatov, 2020)

and is now functional, offers a remote ocean port, distribution centers, and modern offices (Zaheer & Asim, 2021).

In April 2015, Pakistan granted Chinese Abroad Port Possessions Ltd. 40 years of operational control over the Gwadar Port. One more \$200 million in port advancement plans and \$1.62 billion in lines, streets, and railways associating Gwadar with China would be given by China. It could be a fantastic port for businesses in western China and a basic rethinking place for the whole locale. Given its fundamental significance, energy security, and monetary turn of events, the importance of Gwadar Port can't be expressed. In light of the port's important area as a huge maritime place for the area, the Gwadar project is crucial for China's public well-being. China can have a basic commonplace effect thanks to its region at the mouth of the Persian Bay and the furthest edge of the fundamental stifle points of the Streams of Hormuz and the Cove of Oman. It can similarly give China fundamental traction in the Center Eastern Sea and the Indian Sea. As well as giving China a forward station to watch US Maritime Power undertakings in the Persian Bay, Indian development in the Center Eastern Sea, and potential US-India ocean cooperation in the Indian Sea, this would allow Beijing to screen its energy exchanges from the Persian Gulf (Zaheer & Asim, 2021).

Most essentially, Gwadar Port can turn into an office for China's naval force in the future, despite working as a business port as opposed to one. The Chinese nation's freedom-armed force could likewise use the port as a headquarters and a perception post. The US fifth armada's presence in the Persian Gulf and the "Blue-Water Naval Force" is thought to represent a danger to China's and Pakistan's security. Islamabad has consequently offered Beijing the use of the Gwadar port as a maritime base for Chinese purposes. Beijing, be that as it may, declined the proposition to officially set up a garrison in Pakistan out of worry over disturbing New Delhi and Washington (Alley, 2018).

The Gwadar project likewise impacts China's energy security financially and decisively. The quickly developing economy of China has been to a great extent powered by unfamiliar exchange, yet most of that exchange should go through the misleading Waterway of Malacca, which is known for robbery. China is additionally worried that should pressures over Taiwan rise, the American Naval Force would remove its oil imports through the Malacca Waterway. Beijing presently has an alternate delivery course from the Waterway of Malacca because of Gwadar's proximity to the Waterways of Hormuz China's "pearl necklace" plan, which calls for laying out essential ties with various countries along ocean paths, incorporates Gwadar Port as its westernmost (Saeed, Li, Zaheer, & Asim, 2021).

"Overall, Gwadar Port has arrived at a critical defining moment in China and Pakistan's partnership and has the potential to form a critical local center for energy and business". In the Middle East, South Asia, and the Indian Ocean, the port can give the Chinese approach impressive benefactor influence, connections, control, or impact. Gwadar would be a significant stop on China's property and ocean-based silk routes, interfacing it with Focal Asia and different areas. However, there are various expected perils and risks that could affect how the task is executed. For China's economy and energy security, it would be a critical achievement if Gwadar Port could develop into a center point for oil pipelines or a travel office. One can examine the improvement of Gwadar Port as a Chinese maritime station once that goal is met. Beijing has focused on the improvement of the business as opposed to the essential aspect, so it is still a very long way from being a perilous maritime power in the Indian Ocean. The truth about the situation

will eventually emerge, whether or not Gwadar Port finally changes the fundamental game (Saeed, Li, Zaheer, & Asim, 2021).

Pakistan and Iran Pipeline

Iran and Pakistan have been discussing the Iran Pipeline (IP) Gas Pipeline proposition starting around 1994. In 1995, Iran and Pakistan settled on a conditional arrangement. Iran later proposed expanding the pipeline from Pakistan into India and inked a start on February 1999. The Iran-Pakistan-India (IPI) Gas Pipeline project was named a “Harmony and Thriving Gas Pipeline” by different specialists. Pakistan and Iran inked a conclusive settlement on the IP Gas Pipeline at a gathering held in Ankara on Walk 16, 2010, after Pakistan, India, and Iran met on various occasions to examine the cost and other pertinent issues. As indicated by the understanding, every country needs to introduce its part of the pipeline toward the finish of 2014 (Alley, 2018).

The Nuclear concurrence with Iran, as well as the conceivable withdrawal of assents on the Iranian oil and gas area, could have huge implications for China-Pakistan participation. Islamabad is right now attempting to finish the IP pipeline. Anyway, Pakistan is experiencing issues raising assets to help the exorbitant endeavor, which most would gauge to cost around US\$2 billion. Chinese President Xi Cursing committed to helping Pakistan with building its 485-mile portion during his visit there. An IP pipeline. The IP gas pipeline and the melted flammable gas terminal in Pakistan are being worked on by the China Petrol Pipelines Agency (CPP) as a feature of the China-Pakistan Financial Hub project. The two tasks would each generally cost US\$2.5 billion to build, with Pakistan contributing 15% of the stock and the Chinese organization committing 85% of the speculation (Alley, 2018).

To wrap up, it is vital to finish the improvement of the IP gas pipeline and connection point to Gwadar Port as a component of the CPEC project due to China's rising energy demands and its creating reliance on Focal Asian oil and gas. China would benefit from this by chipping away at its energy and exchange relations with Iran and Pakistan. The IP pipeline, anyway, gives Beijing two entryways and two dangers. One advantage would be a diminished Iranian capacity to decline to think twice about gas gauges if India left the pipeline. Second, Chinese cooperation in the IP pipeline undertaking would spread out another overland energy association that could maintain Beijing's evenhanded energy development and further China's central goal for energy security. Notwithstanding, the IP pipeline project likewise has various calculated risks, and that's what the security challenges are. Yet, there are various strategic and security issues with the IP pipeline project that could forestall Chinese cooperation (Asim & Zaheer, 2022).

The Gilgit Baltistan area of Pakistan is where the pipeline is supposed to travel, which will make it more costly and take more time to get to Xinjiang. Furthermore, because the pipeline's area is along a critical separation point of precariousness, which could result from boundless common distress in Pakistan or a huge scope of psychological oppression in the locale it navigates, the tremendous interest in the task would be defenseless against different security dangers. The IP pipeline undertaking would, eventually, have huge international and related geo-monetary implications all through Asia, which is one more sign of the joining of the Center East, the Persian Inlet, and South Asia with different areas of the world. China is turning out to be huger and more persuasive as the center of the Asian mainland, notwithstanding East Asia (Saeed, Li, Zaheer, & Asim, 2021).

Chinese Rising Influence in the Middle East

One region where the spread of a more unmistakable Chinese hypothesis has not been poor down is the Central East. Though speedy improvement in return streams between more vital China and the center East and North Africa (MENA) district has occurred all through late numerous years, venture streams between these areas stay restricted. There are modest quantities of capital streams packed in the energy area and restricted capital streams into trade-situated exercises. This example appears, be that as it may, to gradually change. The Chinese state is showing more income in placing assets into gatherings, organizations, and facilitated tasks in several Middle Eastern countries. In Egypt, for example, a Chinese state drive has provoked the underpinning of a “Chinese present-day zone”. Classified More unmistakable Chinese firms are furthermore moving to the region: one model is More imperative Chinese interests in the alleged Passing present-day Zones of Jordan and Egypt. The presence of these associations is a prompt consequence of the specific induction to the US market introduced through a fascinating trade approach. Subsequently, more vital Chinese firms have contributed by and large to the creation of a ware garments industry in Jordan, with exchange volumes to the US growing grandly from US\$ 10.5 million in 1996 to US\$ 1.25 billion in each 2006 (Nadvi, 2013) (Zaheer & Asim, 2021).

China needs to utilize its relationship with Pakistan as a “springboard” to lay out closer ties with the Middle East. The effect of this new China-Pakistan communication on Islamabad's international strategy and Beijing's impact on Middle Eastern issues is probably going to be critical. A fascinating mark of Beijing's objectives is whether it is willing and capable of affecting Pakistan's international strategy. At the point when China mediated to keep Pakistan from enlisting in the Saudi-driven military coalition engaging the Houthi rebels, which undeniably would have brought about an acceleration in the Yemen struggle, one functional move toward this course was made at that point. One more solid argument for Islamabad to avoid the Yemeni emergency is the significant Chinese interests in Pakistan's economy. For example, all gatherings benefit from Beijing's generosity in supporting and building the IP pipeline, which might reach out inside China. According to this system, Pakistan should maintain friendly relations with Iran and refrain from supporting Saudi Arabia in the Yemeni conflict (Zaheer & Asim, 2021).

Given its dependable benefits in the country, Beijing has marvelous motivations to save Pakistan's money-related strength and would lean toward Islamabad based on inside progress rather than be redirected by a discussion abroad. Ignoring how different parts add to Pakistan's vacillating to help the Saudi attack in Yemen, the most hypnotizing one is the Chinese viewpoint According to Pakistani subject matter experts, Chinese President Xi comforted his Pakistani accomplice that Beijing would maintain Islamabad expecting relations with the Bedouin world rotted. Despite serious strain from Riyadh, Islamabad was persuaded to pardon the Saudi mentioning for military sponsorship for its battle against Houthi rebels by China's recommendation of US\$46 billion in monetary undertakings and help to Pakistan. Rather than partaking in the Saudi-Iranian go-between fight, Islamabad decided to make another remarkable division of the Pakistani Military to watch Chinese specialists in Pakistan. China expected a task in convincing Pakistan to deliver exceptional ties with Afghanistan and backing the political compromise effort (Saeed, Li, Zaheer, & Asim, 2021).

Beijing's rising security, exchange, and monetary interests in space are coming down on Islamabad to construct friendly relations with its neighbors and push for more dynamic cooperation in the compromise cycle in Afghanistan (Mustafa, 2015). By all accounts, China is having a striking and critical impact in laying out a stable geo-economics climate for its significant interests nearby (Saeed, Li, Zaheer, & Asim, 2021).

Conclusion

The motivation for this study is to speculate and guess whether Pak-Sino relations can help Beijing in chasing after its energy and monetary objectives in the Middle East. According to the assessment, the CPEC project, the Gwadar Port, and the IP gas pipeline are promising new types of progress and astonishing entryways for Chinese money-related and energy security nearby. However, various expected dangers and hardships could affect how these activities are done. Consequently, China and Pakistan should consider all inside and outside elements that imperil the culmination of these activities; they should likewise coordinate all the more intently; and they should guarantee better execution and ideal execution of existing arrangements.

The concentration is likewise planned to decide if the new improvement in relations between China and Pakistan is likewise, it is expected to be seen if the new advancement in relations between China and Pakistan addresses a change in outlook that would modify Beijing's financial and international clout in the Middle East. For a long time to come, Pakistan might be essential to China's center-eastern cooperation and international strategy. The genuine open door introduced by the China-Pakistan commitment is that it moves Beijing's impact from being fundamentally geo-economics to being principally geostrategic. Joint endeavors would fundamentally alter how Beijing asserts its authority in the Middle East, South and Southeast Asia, the Indian Ocean, and elsewhere. Pakistan's international strategy is now effectively impacted by China, as can be seen in the instances of the Afghan harmony process and the Yemeni nationwide conflict. Regardless of this, the joint endeavors' convenient execution, as opposed to their intention to do as such, can have a provincial impact.

References

- Alley, A. L. (2018, April 13). *Iran's Priorities in a Turbulent Middle East*. Retrieved from International Crisis Group: <https://www.crisisgroup.org/middle-east-north-africa/gulf-and-arabian-peninsula/iran/184-irans-priorities-turbulent-middle-east>
- Asim, D. M. (2020, July 23). Political Economy of Ethno-National Movements in the Post-Soviet Eurasian Region and Its Impacts on Iranian Azerbaijan Region. Bahawalpur, Punjab, Pakistan: Department of Political Science at the Islamia University of Bahawalpur.
- Asim, M., & Zaheer, M. (2022). • Pamiri Ethnic Nationalism in Tajikistan: A Rising Threat against Future of Gilgit-Baltistan and Pak-China Economic Corridor (CPEC). (D. A. Jahangir, Ed.) *The Journal of South Asian Studies*, 10(1), 9-18. Retrieved from <https://journals.esciencepress.net/index.php/JSAS/article/view/3835>
- Batoolzadi, S. H. (2023). *Iran to China: A Study of Social Economy*. Tehran: Nashiran-e-Zahra Tehran.
- Bhattacharjee, D. D. (2015, 12 may). China Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC). *indian council of world affair*.

- EIU. (2017, October 26). *Tajikistan's ambassador expresses interest in CPEC*. Retrieved from Economist Intelligence Unit: <http://country.eiu.com/article.aspx?articleid=1046031688&Country=Tajikistan&topic=Politics&subtopic=>
- Kaura, V. (2022, December 02). *Pakistan-Afghan Taliban relations face mounting challenges*. Retrieved from Middle East Institute: <https://www.mei.edu/publications/pakistan-afghan-taliban-relations-face-mounting-challenges>
- Kayani, F. N. (2013). China-Pakistan Economic Relations: Lessons for . *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*.
- Khan, D. H. (2023). *CPEC and Iranian Roads to Economy*. Tehran: Nashiran-e-Zahra Tehran.
- Khozin, A. G. (2018, March 23). *Russia to become part of game changer CPEC*. Retrieved from The Express Tribune: <https://tribune.com.pk/story/1667265/russia-become-part-game-changer-cpec>
- Massimov, K. (2015, August 26). *Kazakhstan shows willingness to join CPEC project*. Retrieved from The News International: <https://www.thenews.com.pk/print/14270-kazakhstan-shows-willingness-to-join-cpec-project>
- Matiullah. (2021, December 08). *Kyrgyzstan interested to join CPEC, benefit from Gwadar Port: Envoy*. Retrieved from DND: <https://dnd.com.pk/kyrgyzstan-interested-to-join-cpec-benefit-from-gwadar-port-envoy/259515>
- Movlamov, A. (2019, August 29). *'Turkmenistan natural partner for CPEC extension'*. Retrieved from The Express Tribune: <https://tribune.com.pk/story/2044493/turkmenistan-natural-partner-cpec-extension>
- Nadvi, S. A. (2013). 'Greater Chinese' Global Production Networks in the. *development and change*.
- Saeed, T., & Asim, M. (2021). Governance and Decentralization within Federalism and Unitarism; A Comparative Study of Pakistan and Iran from Third Positionists' Perceptive. *Conference on Democratic Federalism, Governance and Decentralization*. Khairpur Mirs: Department of Political Science at the Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur.
- Saeed, T., & Asim, M. (2022). Third Positionists in between Federal and Unitary State Structures; A Constitutional Study Comparing Pakistan and Iran regarding Governance and Decentralization. *Insights of Pakistan, Iran and the Caucasus Studies*, 1-12.
- Saeed, T., Li, D., Zaheer, M., & Asim, M. (2021). Socio-Industrial Impacts of CPEC on the Pakistan; A Macro-Economic Study within the Theoretical Context of Structural Anthropology. (D. A. Jahangir, Ed.) *The Journal on South Asian Studies*, 243-255 . Retrieved from <https://esciencepress.net/journals/index.php/JSAS/article/view/3933>
- Tashmatov, S. (2020, September 20). *Uzbekistan set to join CPEC*. Retrieved from China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: <http://cpecinfo.com/uzbekistan-set-to-join-cpec/>
- Wali, A. (2023). *Middle Eastern Linkage with China*. Beirut: American University of Lebanon.

Zaheer, M. A., & Asim, M. (2021). Ethnic Dilemma in Balochistan with More Focusing upon Intra-Provincial Conflicts under CPEC Scenario. *The Journal of South Asian Studies*, 37-55.